Unknown

From: Sarah Palin [gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2007 8:39 PM

To: karen_rehfeld@gov.state.ak.us; mike_tibbles@gov.state.ak.us; Michael A Nizich; john_bitney@gov.state.ak.us; christopher_clark@gov.state.ak.us; meghan_stapleton@gov.state.ak.us; sharon_leighow@gov.state.ak.us; Sean Parnell; Jackson; Karleen K (HSS); anna_kim@gov.state.ak.us; Lawrence L Hartig; Joseph D Schmidt; denby_lloyd@fishgame.state.ak.us; Leo Von Scheben; emil_notti@commerce.state.ak.us; Annette Kreitzer; talis.colberg@law.state.ak.us; Campbell; Craig (MVA); Roger L Sampson

Cc: marty_rutherford@dnr.state.ak.us; Tom Irwin; pat galvin; Russell T Kelly; Joseph R Balash; Lynne M Smith

Subject: budget

It was good to get out of Juneau for a day, as I encountered quite a few people who wanted to give suggestions on the budget - everyone I talked to is pretty outraged at the size of the thing. Lots of examples were given where cuts could/should possibly be made.

From the $10million (federal project) rocket launch facility, to Railbelt Energy Funds, $350,000 South High's Booster Club request, dust control in Nenana, relection ponds and Fairbanks Dog Park - lots more examples. People who have dug a little into it and can not believe what legislators did with pet projects and with requests for funding projects on the heels of promises of no more state funds to sustain some of these existing projects. And on the heels of campaigns that promised recognition of government's proper role.

Reporters are asking about some of the obvious ones, including ADN pointing out Manly airport relocate for a couple million... which I hear would be used to accomodate some who want to fly in but just don't want to drive through the community. (It's an example of something obvious that we need to look at and ask: it's it an absolute necessity THIS year? Can it wait/be phased in? Is it EVEN necessary?)

Karen - it will be great to see recommendations from your staff re: projects that obviously have to go - projects that legislators perhaps had asked for in the past and didn't get - signifying the project isn't necessary but were plopped in in hopes of a new administration having mercy on their project(s). With a sensible and conservative look, please have them recommend soon the line items that can wait, or can just be eliminated.

This will be a lot of work, I'm sure, but we'll set a precedent in this first year that we're serious about reigning in government growth by cutting that budget. Thank you.

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2007 7:49 PM
To: Meghan N Stapleton; Michael A Tibbles; Frye; Ivy J (GOV)
Cc: Sharon W Leighow; John W Bitney; Christopher G Clark; Todd Palin
Subject: Lyda

I received a call on my personal cell as I was walking into Petersburg speech this afternoon - it was a guy mad about ABC Board not granting his fundraiser a liquor license permit for their event tomorrow night - asked me to work on it - I explained I was hundreds of miles away, it's a board decision, I was about to give a speech any minute, etc... So he should call his local legislator instead, if he could. He said he did - it was Lyda - and Lyda instead gave him my personal cell #. .

So next time constituents have issues re: the Valley, we're free to give out her personal #, eh?

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2007 6:11 PM
To: Tom E Irwin; Balash; Joseph R (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Bitney; John W (GOV)
Subject: Petersburg

Tha Mitkof Homeowners had the most contentious issue during my visit here, of course. Basically feeling that their voice is not heard. I told them if it helps to have a Commissioner here for a quick mtg, i'll send someone to meet. So - thats what we need to do.

Unknown

From: Tom Irwin [tom_irwin@dnr.state.ak.us]
Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2007 11:24 PM
To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com; Balash; Joseph R (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Bitney; John W (LAA)
Cc: Lefebvre; Richard A (DNR); Martha K Rutherford
Subject: RE: Petersburg

I just sent an email and I said Russ Kelly and I should have said I talked with Ed Wood. Sorry. Guess I should turn the hand held off and get some sleep. Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

To: "Tom Irwin" <tom_irwin@dnr.state.ak.us>; "Joseph R Balash" <joe_balash@gov.state.ak.us>; "Michael A Tibbles" <mike_tibbles@gov.state.ak.us>; "John W Bitney" <john_bitney@gov.state.ak.us>

Sent: 5/19/07 6:10 PM

Subject: Petersburg

Tha Mitkof Homeowners had the most contentious issue during my visit here, of course. Basically feeling that their voice is not heard. I told them if it helps to have a Commissioner here for a quick mtg, i'll send someone to meet. So - thats what we need to do.

Unknown

From: Tom Irwin [tom_irwin@dnr.state.ak.us]
Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2007 11:15 PM
To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com; Balash; Joseph R (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Bitney; John W (LAA)
Cc: Martha K Rutherford; Lefebvre; Richard A (DNR)
Subject: RE: Petersburg

Governor, Joe and I have both individually visited with Russ Kelly. I thought I had a good discussion with him, just couldn't give Russ an immediate solution. I will offer to visit in person if you want me to.

If your schedule allows I would like to add a discussion about Mitkof Homeowners to the conference call for Monday afternoon when we review Ag. Hope you have a good weekend! God bless Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

To: "Tom Irwin" <tom_irwin@dnr.state.ak.us>; "Joseph R Balash" <joe_balash@gov.state.ak.us>; "Michael A Tibbles" <mike_tibbles@gov.state.ak.us>; "John W Bitney" <john_bitney@gov.state.ak.us>

Sent: 5/19/07 6:10 PM

Subject: Petersburg

Tha Mitkof Homeowners had the most contentious issue during my visit here, of course. Basically feeling that their voice is not heard. I told them if it helps to have a Commissioner here for a quick mtg, i'll send someone to meet. So - thats what we need to do.

Byers, Gail Y (LAW)

From: Sarah Palin [gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2007 8:40 PM
To: Frye; Ivy J (GOV); Kristina Y Perry
Subject: Fwd: budget

Note: forwarded message attached.

Printing, GOV (GOV sponsored)

From: Sarah Palin [gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2007 8:39 PM

To: Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Michael A Tibbles; Michael A Nizich; John W Bitney; Christopher G Clark; Meghan N Stapleton; Sharon W Leighow; S R Parnell; Karleen K Jackson; Kim, Anna C (GOV); Hartig, Lawrence L (DEC); Joseph D Schmidt; Denby S Lloyd; Leo Von Scheben; Emil R Notti; Annette E Kreitzer; talis.colberg@law.state.ak.us; Campbell, Craig (MVA); Roger L Sampson

Cc: Martha K Rutherford; Tom E Irwin; pat galvin; Russell T Kelly; Joseph R Balash; Lynne M Smith

Subject: budget

It was good to get out of Juneau for a day, as I encountered quite a few people who wanted to give suggestions on the budget - everyone I talked to is pretty outraged at the size of the thing. Lots of examples were given where cuts could/should possibly be made.

From the $10million (federal project) rocket launch facility, to Railbelt Energy Funds, $350,000 South High's Booster Club request, dust control in Nenana, relection ponds and Fairbanks Dog Park - lots more examples. People who have dug a little into it and can not believe what legislators did with pet projects and with requests for funding projects on the heels of promises of no more state funds to sustain some of these existing projects. And on the heels of campaigns that promised recognition of government's proper role.

Reporters are asking about some of the obvious ones, including ADN pointing out Manly airport relocate for a couple million... which I hear would be used to accomodate some who want to fly in but just don't want to drive through the community. (It's an example of something obvious that we need to look at and ask: it's it an absolute necessity THIS year? Can it wait/be phased in? Is it EVEN necessary?)

Karen - it will be great to see recommendations from your staff re: projects that obviously have to go - projects that legislators perhaps had asked for in the past and didn't get - signifying the project isn't necessary but were plopped in in hopes of a new administration having mercy on their project(s). With a sensible and conservative look, please have them recommend soon the line items that can wait, or can just be eliminated.

This will be a lot of work, I'm sure, but we'll set a precedent in this first year that we're serious about reigning in government growth by cutting that budget. Thank you.